TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Session 1	Vol. I
D	isparity and Discrimination in Sentencing Practices	Page No.
1.	John H. Kramer and Jeffrey T. Ulmer, Sentencing Disparity and Departures From Guidelines, Justice Quarterly Vol. 13, Issue 1, March page 81-106 (1996); DOI: 10.1080/07418829600092831.	
2.	Spohn Cassia, <i>Sentencing: Disparity</i> , Encyclopaedia of Crime and Justice; http://www.encyclopedia.com/doc/1G2-3403000240.html.	
3.	T.S. Palys and Stan Divorski, <i>Explaining Sentence Disparity</i> , Canadian Journal of Criminology Vol.28, Issue 4, page 347-362 (1986).	
4.	Julian C. Jr. D'Esposito, Sentencing Disparity: Causes and Cures, Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 60, Issue 2, page 182-203 (1969).	
5.	Lakshminath, A. <i>Criminal Justice in India: Primitivism to Post-modernism</i> , ILI, Vol 48.1 page 26-56 (2016).	
5.	 Sri Lankan Case Law: ✓ Ambagala Mudiyanselage Samantha Sampath vs. Attorney General; Eva Wanasundera, P.C.J., Sarath de Abrew, J. and P. Jayawardena, P.C.J. Supreme Court of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka. ✓ Thilakaratne vs. Attorney-General; (1989)(2)SLR(191). ✓ Kaluperuma Kelum Dushmantha de Silva vs. The Hon. Attorney-General; LEX/SLCA/0251/2014. ✓ Hirimuthugoda Sanjeewa Shantha vs. Attorney General; LEX/SLCA/0539/2014. 	

	Session 2	Vol. I
	Sentencing in Offences against Human Body	Page No.
1.	Jermey Horder, Rethinking Non-Fatal Offences against the Person, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies Vol. 14 No-3.	
2.	Micheal Jefferson, Offences against the Person: Into the 21st Century, The Journal of Criminal Law (2012) 76 JCL Pp. 472-492.	
3.	Sri Lankan Case Law:	
	 ✓ Hirimuthugoda Sanjeewa Shantha vs. Attorney General; LEX/SLCA/0539/2014. ✓ Martin vs. The King; (1951)(52)NLR(381). ✓ Rex vs. Marthelis Perera; (1925)(27)NLR(163). ✓ Weerawardane vs. State; (2000)(2)SLR(391). 	
	Session 3	Vol. I
	Appreciation of Electronic Evidences	Page No.
1.	Kelly Friedman, <i>Electronic Evidence at Trial</i> , The Advocates Quarterly, Vol.36, page 215-225 (2009).	
2.	Susan Wortzman and Susan Nickle, <i>Obtaining Relevant Electronic Evidence</i> , The Advocates Quarterly, Vol.36, page 226-268+ (2009).	
3.	Karnika Seth, Electronic Evidence <i>in</i> , Computers, Internet and New Technology Laws: A Comprehensive Reference Work with a Special Focus on Developments in India, page 397-430 (Gurgaon: Lexis Nexis Butterworth Wadhwa, 2012).	
4.	Sri Lankan Case Law:	
	 ✓ Janashakthi Insurance Co. Ltd. vs. Umbichy Ltd.; (2007)(2)SLR(39). ✓ Case Study: The 2013 Salzburg Workshop on Cyber Investigations: Digital Evidence and Investigatory Protocols- By Tommy Umberg and Cherrie Warden, Page 6. 	

	US Case Law:	
	✓ United States vs. Ganias; 755 r3d 125 (2d cir. 2014)	
	Session 4	Vol. I
	Cybercrimes and Laws dealing with Cybercrimes	Page No.
1.	Ronald C. Griffin, <i>Cybercrime</i> , JICLT, Vol.7, Issue 2, page 136-153 (2012).	
2.	Dan Svantesson, An Introduction to Jurisdictional Issues in Cyberspace, Journal of Law and Information Science, Vol. 15, page 50-74(2004).	
3.	N.S. Nappinai, Cyber Crime Law in India: Has Law Kept Pace with Emerging Trend: An Empirical Study, Journal of International Commercial Law and Technology, Vol.5, Issue 1, page 22-28 (2010).	
4.	Tushar Kanti Saha, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol. 15, Sept. 2010, page 364-373 (2010).	
5.	Karnika Seth, Electronic Evidence <i>in</i> , Computers, Internet and New Technology Laws: A Comprehensive Reference Work with a Special Focus on Developments in India, page 333-396 (Gurgaon: Lexis Nexis Butterworth Wadhwa, 2012).	
6.	Indian Case Law: ✓ Syed Asifuddin vs. The State of Andhra Pradesh; 2005 CriLJ 4314. ✓ N. Saravanan & Dr. L. Prakash & others vs. State, 2015 (1) L.W. (Crl) 184. ✓ Firos vs. State of Kerala; 2006(3) KLT210. ✓ State Bank of India vs. Rizvi Exports Ltd.; II(2003)BC96. ✓ Diebold Systems Pvt Ltd vs. The Commissioner of Commercial Taxes; MANU / CC / 8195 / 2007. ✓ Abhinav Gupta vs. State of Haryana; 2008 CRLJ 4536. ✓ Nirav Navinbhai Shah vs. State of Gujarat; 2006 GLH (3)71.	

	 ✓ K.A Abbas vs. Union of India, 1971 AIR SC 481. ✓ Ajay Goswani vs. Union of India ✓ Director General, Directorate vs. Anand Patwardhan, Appeal (Civil) 613 of 2005. ✓ National Association of Software vs. Ajay Sood; 119 (2005) DLT 596. ✓ Pune Citibank Mphasis Call Center Fraud Case. ✓ Sherya Singhal vs. Union of India; writ petition (criminal) no.167 of 2012. SESSION 5 Circumstantial Evidence 	Vol. I Page No.
1.	Chief Justice Shaw, Circumstantial Evidence in Homicide Cases, Virginia Law Register, Vol. XX, 1915, No. 10.721-732	
2.	Conviction Upon Circumstantial Evidence, The American Law Register, 1860, 705-713	
3.	Sri Lankan Case Law:	

	Session 6	Vol. I
	Contempt of Court: Law and Practice	Page No.
1.	Beale, J. (1907-1908). Contempt of Court Criminal and Civil. <i>Harvard Law Review</i> 21(3), 161-174.	
2.	Dutta, M. Kak, A. (2009). Contempt of Court: Finding the Limit. NUJS Law Review 2(1), 55-74.	
3.	Contempt by Publication: The Limitation on Indirect Contempt of Court. <i>Virginia Law Review</i> 8(3), (1962). 556-573.	
4.	Gill, S. S. Contempt of Court by Publications. California Law Review, Vol. 24, No. 1 (Nov., 1935), pp. 114-122	
5.	Grossman, H. (1956). Freedom of Expression in India. <i>UCLA Law Review</i> 4(1), 64-81.	
6.	Contempt of Court in Sri Lanka: Recommendations for Codification by Global Campaign for Free Expression, available at: https://www.article19.org/data/files/pdfs/analysis/srilanka.contempt.03.pdf	
7.	Draft Contempt of Court Bill (Sri Lanka), 2008.	
	Session 7	Vol. I
	Contours of Social Action Litigation	Page No.
1.	Bhagwati, P. Dias, C. (2012). The Judiciary in India: Hunger and Thirst for Justice. <i>NUJS Law Review</i> 5(2), 171-188.	
2.	Baxi, U. (1982). Taking Suffering Seriously: Social Action Litigation in the Supreme Court of India. <i>Review: International Commission of Jurists</i> 29, 37-49.	

3.	Bhagwati, P. (1985). Judicial Activism and Public Interest Litigation. <i>Columbia Journal of Transnational Law</i> 23(3), 561-578.	
4.	Craig, P. Deshpande, S. (1989). Rights, Autonomy and Process: Public Interest Litigation in India. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 9(3), 356-373.	
5.	Cassels, J. (1989). Judicial Activism and Public Interest Litigation in India: Attempting the Impossible. <i>American Journal of Comparative Law</i> 37(3), 495-520.	
6.	Marasinghe, L. (2003). Public Interest Litigation and the Indian Experience: Its Relevance to Sri Lanka. <i>Sri Lanka Journal of International Law</i> 15, 51-76.	
	Session 8	Vol. II
	Art, Science and Craft of Judging	
1.	Micheal Kirby, <i>On the Writing of Judgements</i> , (1990) 64(11) Australian Law Journal.	
2.	Stewart G. Pollock, the Art of Judging, New York University Law Review, Vol. 71, 1996 No. 3, Pp. 591-614	
3.	Lawrence J. Fox, Let us keep our Dignity: The Thirteen Habits of Highly Effective Judges, The Judges' Journal, 2003. Pp.11-13.	
4.	Justice M.S. Arach Amoko, The Art of Decision Making in Trial Courts, Annual Judges Conference, (2016).	
5.	Johan N. Drobak and Douglass C. North, Understanding Judicial Decision Making: The Importance of Constraints on Non-Rational Deliberations, Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, Vol. 26, 2008. Pp. 131-152	

	Session 9	Vol. II
	Judicial Independence and Accountability	Page No.
1.	Vyas, Y. (1992). The Independence of the Judiciary: Third World Perspective. <i>Third World Legal Studies</i> 1992, 127-178.	
2.	V R Krishna Iyer, Judicial accountability to the community: a democratic necessity, <i>Economic and Political Weekly</i> Vol. 26, No. 30 (July 27, 1991), pp. 1808-1814	
3.	Contini, F. Mohr, R. (2007). Reconciling Independence and Accountability in Judicial Systems. <i>Utrecht Law Review</i> 3(2), 26-43.	
4.	Le Sueur, A. (2004). Developing Mechanisms for Judicial Accountability in the UK. <i>Legal Studies</i> 24(Issues 1-2), 73-98.	
5.	Handberg, R. (1994). Judicial Accountability and Independence: Balancing Incompatibles. <i>University of Miami Law Review</i> 49(1), 127-138.	
6.	Bhagwati, P. (1999). Judicial Independence vs. Judicial Accountability: Debate; A Comment by Jerome J. Shetack. <i>CIJL Yearbook</i> 7, 85-94.	
7.	Jayawickrama, N. (2002). Developing Concept of Judicial Accountability The Judicial Integrity Group and the Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct. <i>Commonwealth Law Bulletin</i> 28(2), 1091-1108.	
8.	Verma, J. S. Judicial Independence: Is it Threatened? Available at: http://www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/article/Judicial%20Independence%20JSVJ.pdf	

9.	Wathukarage, R. (2007). Independence of the Judiciary in Sri Lanka: An Institutional Analysis of Its Woes. <i>Lawasia Journal</i> 2007, 187-212.	
	Session 10	Vol. II
	Mapping of Success of ADR Initiatives in India	Page No.
1.	Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.B. Sinha, <i>ADR: Mechanism and Effective Implementation</i> .	
2.	Mediation Training Manual of India; Mediation and Conciliation Project Committee, Supreme Court of India, Delhi.	
3.	Dispute Resolution Institutional Problems, DR/ADR Solutions and Conditions for Success. Annexure-E	
4.	Leonard L. Riskin, <i>Understanding Mediators' Orientations,</i> Strategies, and techniques: A Grid for the Perplexed.	
	Session 11	Vol. II
	Case Management Methods Developed in India	Page No.
1.	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Madan B. Lokur, Case Management and Court Administration.	
2.	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sunil Ambwani, <i>Justice Administration: Case and Court Management.</i>	
3.	Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Jagannadha Rao, Case Management and Its Advantages.	
4.	Fern M. Smith, Case Management.	

5.	Stephen E. Taylor, Esq., Case Management in the Federal Courts of the United States of America.	
6.	A Consultation Paper on Case Management: Draft Rules, The Law Commission of India	
7.	Niranjan J. Bhatt, Co-Relation Between Mediation and Case Management.	